UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,440	05/05/2004	Ashok V. Joshi	2000.2.31 MIC-021147	3439
55162 CERAMATEC	7590 04/02/200 . INC.	8	EXAMINER	
2425 SOUTH 9	00 WEST	BOUCHELLE, LAURA A		
SALT LAKE C	TTY, UT 84119		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			(1)				
		10/709,440 Examiner	JOSHI ET AL.  Art Unit				
	<b>,</b>						
	TI MANUNO DATE (4)	LAURA A. BOUCHEL		<u> </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Posnonsivo to communication(s) filed on	00 May 2007					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex pane Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5,8-11 and 17-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,12-16 and 27-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
		and/or election requiremen	t.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or	orrection is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/2/06</u> .	8) Pape 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application r:				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,440 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species III, claims 1, 6, 7, 12-16, 27-33 in the reply filed on 5/9/07 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 7, 27-30, 32, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lal et al (US 6638249). Lal discloses an ultrasonically actuated needle pump system comprising a housing having a fluid reservoir 80, a means for delivering fluid out of the fluid reservoir, a means for retaining the delivered fluid proximal the ambient environment using a capillary tube 57 and an outer tube 54, and means for imparting motion 64 to the retaining means using ultrasonic vibrations (Col. 4, lines38-55, Col. 5, lines 10-20). The actuator may be a piezoelectric motor (Col. 3, lines 64-65).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/709,440 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lal in view of McGlothlin et al (US 5993700). Claim 12 differs from Lal in calling for the means for retaining fluid to be porous. McGlothlin teaches an infusion device having a porous wick that control the diffusive transport of the liquid (Col. 6, lines 25-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Lal to include a porous fluid retaining member to control the diffusive transport of the fluid.

6. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lal in view of Schwartz et al (US 5092844). Claim 312 differs from Lal in calling for the motor to impart rotational motion to the fluid retainer. Schwartz teaches a fluid delivery mechanism that may include a motor for either reciprocal motion, as disclosed by Lal, or rotational motion to achieve the desired fluid flow (Col. 8, lines 20-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Lal to include a motor that imparts rotational motion because Schwartz teaches that reciprocal motion and rotational motion are know equivalents for achieving and controlling fluid flow.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA A. BOUCHELLE whose telephone number is (571)272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,440 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura A Bouchelle Examiner Art Unit 3763

/L. A. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763